

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,233	07/11/2003	Toshiaki Hirano	041514-5395	2330
55694 DRINKER BI	7590 04/02/2008 DDLE & REATH (DC)	EXAMINER		
1500 K STRE			LIN, JAMES	
SUITE 1100 WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20005-1209		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/617,233	HIRANO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jimmy Lin	1792	

	Jimmy Lin	1792				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 20 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>Al The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I	).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed)</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	will <u>not</u> be entered be E below);	cause			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1: 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amandment (	OTOL 224)			
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		ripliant Amendment (	- I OL-324).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 21.22.24-27 and 29. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-20.						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and						
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing.	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	date of filing a brief, w	ill not be			
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	and was not earlier presented. Se	ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	).			
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13.  Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Timothy H Meeks/	/Jimmy Lin/					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792

Examiner, Art Unit 1792

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 22 would require new grounds of rejection and, thus, would require further consideration.

Examiner notes that amendments to the specification has been filed. However, all amendments will not be entered at this time because of the further consideration that is required with the amended claims. Applicant is advised to submit the amendments to the specification in a Response that can be entered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues on pg. 14 that the primary concern in lines 1-5 of pg. 17 of Smith is with the crucibles having a side wall extending along a longitudinal axis, such as crucibles 12 should in Fig. 7 and 8. However, lines 1-5 of pg. 17 is part of the paragraph bridging pgs. 16-17. The paragraph is directed to all embodiments of Smith, rather than just the embodiments of Fig. 7 and 8. For example, lines 30-31 of pg. 16 sides: 'As shown in Figs. 7 and 8 but generally applicable to all of the embodiments'. Although the embodiment of Fig. 9 is not concerned with the length of the crucibles, the teaching in the paragraph bridging pgs. 16-17 is still applicable in terms of the overall length the point source crucibles span in the width direction of the substrate. The placement of the point source crucibles as taught by Smith would necessarily form the first and second lines as required in claim 21.